



TABLE OF CONTENT

TABLE OF CONTENT	i
ABBREVIATIONS	x
EXECUTION SUMMARY	xv
ES.1 Description of the Current Maritime Environment.....	xv
Geological Characteristics	xv
Seismic Characteristics	xv
Sedimentological Characteristics	xv
Coastal Habitats and Infrastructure	xvi
Benthic Communities.....	xvi
Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, Birds and Fishes.....	xvii
Seawater Quality	xviii
Sediment Quality	xviii
ES.2 Location and Technology Alternatives and Reasons for Preferring the Proposed Alternatives	xx
ES.3 Description of Actions Stemming from Performance of the Application	xxviii
ES.4 Evaluation of Environmental Impacts Expected to Develop Due to Performance of the Application and Measures to be taken to Prevent / Minimize Such	xl
ES.5 Proposed Instructions for a Plan for Preservation and Prevention of Harm to the Application Environment.....	lxviii
1.0 CHAPTER A – DESCRIPTION OF THE CURRENT MARITIME ENVIRONMENT TO WHICH THE APPLICATION RELATES	1-1
1.1 General	1-1
1.2 Boundaries of Application and Area of Influence	1-3
1.1.2 Detailed Area of Application	1-3
1.2.2 Area of Impact of Application.....	1-6
1.3 Maps and Orthophotos	1-6
1.3.1 Map Requirements	1-6
1.3.2 Geographic Grid Requirements.....	1-6
1.3.3 Distance Between Project Components	1-6
1.3.4 General Depth Map	1-6
1.3.5 Regional Depth Maps	1-7
1.3.6 Maritime Agricultural	1-8
1.3.7 Detailed Depth Maps	1-8
1.3.8 Maritime Transportation and Infrastructure Map	1-8



1.4	Geological, Seismic, and Sedimentological Characteristics.....	1-8
1.4.1	General Geological / Geomorphological / Bathymetric Map	1-8
1.4.2	Regional Geological / Geomorphological / Bathymetric Map	1-8
1.4.3	Description of Geological, Seismic, and Sedimentological Characteristics	1-11
1.5	Geological Risks	1-20
1.6	Nature and Ecology	1-35
1.6.1	Coastal Habitats and Infrastructure	1-35
1.6.3	Marine Mammals, Sea Turtles, Birds, and Fishes.....	1-36
1.6.4	Detailed Nature and Ecology Analysis	1-43
1.7	Sea Water and Sediment Quality	1-43
1.7.1	Water Quality	1-43
1.7.2	Sediment Quality	1-51
1.8	Cultural and Heritage Sites.....	1-58
1.9	Meteorology and Air Quality	1-60
1.9.1	Existing Meteorological Conditions.....	1-60
1.9.2	Special Meteorological Conditions Cause Dispersal.....	1-60
1.9.3	Air Quality	1-61
1.10	Noise.....	1-61
1.11	Marine Transportation System and Infrastructure	1-61
1.11.1	Telecommunications Cables	1-62
1.11.2	Shipping Lanes	1-62
2.0	CHAPTER B – LOCATION AND TECHNOLOGY ALTERNATIVES AND REASONS FOR PREFERRING THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE	2-1
2.1	General	2-1
2.2	Location Alternatives	2-3
2.2.1	Wellheads and Flowlines	2-3
2.2.2	Infield Gathering Manifold.....	2-5
2.2.3	Pipeline Route	2-5
2.3	Technology Alternatives	2-8
2.3.1	Infield Submarine Infrastructure	2-8
2.3.2	Transmission Infrastructure	2-10
2.3.3	Entry into Territorial Waters.....	2-15
2.3.4	Alternatives Summary.....	2-17
3.0	CHAPTER C – DESCRIPTION OF ACTIONS STEMMING FROM PERFORMANCE OF THE APPLICATION	3-1
3.1	General	3-1
3.2	Description of the Application	3-7
3.2.1	General	3-7



3.2.2	Transmission Facilities Installation, Tie-in and Commissioning	3-21
3.2.3	Other Infrastructures	3-37
3.2.4	Leak Detection	3-42
3.2.5	Sources of Discharge into the Sea	3-42
3.3	Noise Hazards	3-45
3.3.1	Construction Noise Sources	3-45
3.3.2	Operational Noise Sources	3-48
3.4	Hazardous Materials	3-49
3.4.1	Construction	3-49
3.4.2	Operation	3-50
3.5	Geological and Seismic Risk Assessment	3-50
3.6	Abandonment of the Field and Dismantling of the Infrastructure	3-51
4.0	Chapter D – Evaluation of environmental impacts expected to develop due to performance of the application and measures to be taken to prevent/ minimize such	4-1
4.1	Assessment of Potential Impact on Marine Environment	4-5
4.1.1	Submarine production infrastructure and transmission / supply pipeline	4-5
4.2	Environmental Impacts of a Sea Pollution Event by Oil Based Extreme Scenarios	4-11
4.2.1	Modelling Domain	4-16
4.2.2	Modelling Domain Coastal Features	4-16
4.2.3	Modelling Periods	4-17
4.2.4	Modelled Sea States	4-17
4.2.5	Oil Spills Modelling Results	4-18
4.2.6	Oil Spills Analysis	4-21
4.2.7	Hydrodynamic Oil Spills Modelling Tool	4-36
4.3	Noise	4-37
4.4	Nature and Ecology	4-47
4.4.1	Impacts associated with laying of pipelines	4-47
4.4.2	Impacts associated with production including physical and cumulative impacts	4-59
4.5	Cultural and Heritage Site Impacts	4-65
4.6	Hazardous Materials	4-66
4.6.1	Risks Associated with Hazardous Materials	4-66
4.6.2	Leviathan Production Fluids	4-70
4.6.3	Treatment in Emergencies	4-71
4.7	Measures for Reduction of Geological and Seismic Risks	4-71
4.7.1	Early Warning	4-71
4.7.2	Prevention of Damage	4-72
4.7.3	Emergency Procedures	4-72



4.8	Fishing and Marine Farming	4-72
4.8.1	Impact to Fisheries	4-72
4.8.2	Impact to Fish Populations due to Overfishing	4-73
4.9	Safety and Protection	4-75
4.10	Monitoring and Control Programme	4-75
4.10.1	Environmental Monitoring	4-76
4.10.2	Collecting Samples	4-76
4.10.3	Environmental Calibration Methods.....	4-76
4.11	Abandonment and Dismantling of the Infrastructure	4-77
5.0	CHAPTER E PROPOSED INSTRUCTIONS FOR A PLAN FOR PRESERVATION AND PREVENTION OF HARM TO THE APPLICATION TO THE ENVIRONMENT	5-1
5.1	General	5-1
5.1.1	Noble Environmental, Health, and Safety Management	5-1
5.2	Instructions	5-2
5.2.1	Instructions for the Various Stages of Performance of the Application	5-2
5.2.2	Handling of Hazardous Materials	5-3
5.2.3	Reduction and Prevention of Harm to the Seafloor, Seawater and the Coastline	5-5
5.2.4	Preservation of Fauna and Flora, including Pelagic Species	5-7
5.2.5	Monitoring	5-8
5.2.6	Preventing or Reducing Noise Impacts	5-9
5.2.7	Management of Safety and Protection Zones	5-9
5.2.8	Emergency Procedures	5-10
5.2.9	Geological and Seismic Risks	5-13
5.2.10	Protection and Maintenance of Transmission / Supply Pipelines.....	5-14
5.2.11	Decommissioning	5-14
5.2.12	Periodical Reporting and Incident Reporting	5-15
5.2.13	Changes in Development Plan	5-15
5.2.14	Implementation of SEMS	5-15
6.0	CHAPTER F – BIBLIOGRAPHY	6-1
7.0	SECTION G - APPENDICES	7-1
Appendix A.1	EIA Instructions: Instructions for Preparation of an Environmental Impact Document in the Economic Waters for Installation, Operation and Maintenance of Submarine Systems, and Laying of Pipelines in the Leviathan Field Development Project (Leases I/14 and I/15)	7-2
Appendix A.2	Leviathan Production EIA Team Summary and Experience (Curriculum Vitae)...	7-28
Appendix A.3	General Depth Maps	7-152



Appendix A.4 Regional Depth Maps	7-165
Appendix A.5 Detailed Depth Maps	7-170
Appendix A.6 Marine Transportation and Infrastructure Maps	7-205
Appendix A.7 General Geological / Geomorphological / Bathymetric Map	7-218
Appendix A.8 Regional Geological / Geomorphological / Bathymetric Map	7-220
Appendix A.9 Full-Scale Versions of Figures A-5 and A-6	7-225
Appendix A.10 Pipeline Alignment with Summary Risk Map	7-228
Appendix A.11 Letter Confirmation of Receipt of Antiquities Section in Noble Energy’s Environmental Memorandum at the Leviathan Site	7-232
Appendix B.1 No Chapter E Appendices	7-236
Appendix C.1 Infrastructure Seabed Land-take	7-237
Appendix C.2 Material Safety Data Sheets	7-242
Appendix C.3 Estimation of Installation Vessel Duration & Fuel Use	7-265
Appendix D.1 OCSAR Modelling Approval Request Technical Note	7-282
Appendix E.1 No Chapter E Appendices	7-303

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1 1: Water depth of proposed facility locations in the Leviathan Field and distances from each facility to the nearest shoreline (Rosh Hacarmel and Hadera) and Leviathan Production Platform (LPP).	1-6
Table 1 2: Summary risk map for the proposed pipeline alignment.	1-29
Table 1 3: Marine mammal species potentially occurring in the Application Area based on Kerem et al. (2012), ACCOBAMS (2012), and Notarbartolo di Sciara and Birkun (2010), as modified by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species, 2016.	1-36
Table 1 4: Sea turtle species potentially occurring in the Application Area	1-38
Table 1 5 : Seabird species occurring in Israeli waters (Adapted from: BirdLife International, 2014a).	1-39
Table 1 6: Shorebird species occurring in Israel that are on the Annex II list.	1-41
Table 1 7: Minimum distances to the nearest telecommunications cable for each wellsite (From: MedNautilus. 2014a,b).1-62	1-62
Table 2-1: Summary of Alternatives – Production EIA	2-19
Table 3-1 Vessel Use for Infield Facilities Installation, Pre-Commissioning and Commissioning	3-11
Table 3-2: Infield Flowline and PLET Land Take	3-12
Table 3-3: Infield Umbilical Cores	3-15
Table 3-4: Infield Pre-commissioning and Commissioning Releases	3-18
Table 3-5: Infield Umbilical Cores for Pre-Commissioning Operations	3-19
Table 3-6: Discharge Sites and Volumes for Infield Umbilical Pre-Commissioning & Commissioning	3-21
Table 3-7: Production Pipeline and PLET Land Take	3-25
Table 3-8: Incremental Land Take for Standalone MEG Supply Pipelines	3-27



Table 3-9: Primary Umbilical Cores	3-30
Table 3-10: Infield Pre-commissioning and Commissioning Releases.....	3-33
Table 3-11: Infield Umbilical Cores for Pre-Commissioning Operations	3-34
Table 3-12 Vessel Use for Pipeline Installation, Pre-Commissioning and Commissioning.....	3-36
Table 3-13: Incremental Vessel Use for Standalone MEG Pipeline Installation, Pre-Commissioning and Commissioning	3-37
Table 3-14: Domestic Effluent Generation Rates (per person)	3-43
Table 3-15: Peak Domestic Effluent Rates (700 Persons Offshore)	3-43
Table 3-16 Installation, Construction and Pre-commissioning Vessel Requirements.....	3-45
Table 4 1: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Controls and Residual Risk of Submarine Production Infrastructure and Transmission/ Supply Pipeline	4-10
Table 4 2: Model Domain Wind and Current Coordinates.....	4-16
Table 4 3: Plume Behavior and Fate Model Results for Dropped Object Release Scenario	4-18
Table 4 4: Hydrocarbon Beaching Model Results for Dropped Object Release Scenario	4-21
Table 4 5 Sensitive/ Vulnerable Species Regularly Present in Israeli Waters	4-32
Table 4 6: Impact Ranking – Sea Pollution Event by Oil Based Scenario	4-36
Table 4 7 Estimated Auditory Bandwidth and Marine Mammal Injury Criteria - Southall et al., (2007)	4-42
Table 4 8: Relative Risk of Auditory Impacts on Sea Turtles Exposed to Shipping Noise and Other Continuous Sound Sources (Popper et al., 2014).....	4-44
Table 4 9: Relative Risk of Auditory Impacts on Fish Exposed to Shipping Noise and Other Continuous Sound Sources (Popper et al., 2014).....	4-45
Table 4 10: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Controls and Residual Risk for Noise.....	4-46
Table 4 11: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Pre-Commissioning and Commissioning Activities	4-50
Table 4 12 Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk Associated with Construction, Installation and Support Vessel/ Helicopter Presence	4-54
Table 4 13: Summary of Impact, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Construction, Installation and Support Vessel Discharges	4-57
Table 4 14: Ballast Water Convention Treatment Standards according IMO BWM.....	4-58
Table 4 15: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Ballast Water Discharge	4-59
Table 4 16: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Subsea Control Valve Operations	4-62
Table 4 17 Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Bio-fouling.....	4-63
Table 4 18: Leviathan Installation, Hook-up, Commissioning and Maintenance Vessel Emissions as a % of Total Mediterranean Shipping Industry1	4-64
Table 4 19 Summary of Cumulative Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk.....	4-65
Table 4 20: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk on Cultural and Heritage Sites	4-66
Table 4 21: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Waste.....	4-70



Table 4 22: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Fisheries4-73
 Table 4 23: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Overfishing.....4-74
 Table 4 24: Summary of Impacts, Mitigation & Control and Residual Risk for Safety and Protection
4-75

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 1: Location of the Leviathan Field, offshore Israel..... 1-2
 Figure 1 2: Area of Application including the Leviathan wells and manifolds and proposed pipeline corridor to the Leviathan Production Platform. 1-5
 Figure 1 3: General Depth Map showing the Leviathan Field and proposed drill sites. 1-7
 Figure 1 4: Bathymetric map showing the Leviathan Field and proposed drillsites relative to regional maritime boundaries and shipping routes..... 1-9
 Figure 1 5: Location and magnitude of historical earthquakes in the region (1979 to 2014) relative to the Leviathan Field and proposed pipeline corridor (Data from: U.S. Geological Survey, 2014).
 1-10
 Figure 1 6: Location of existing marine infrastructure (telecommunications cable and previous drillsites) in relation to the eight initial wellsites included in the Leviathan Field Development Plan. Proposed new wellsite locations are preliminary; final well locations may vary slightly. Contours indicate water depth in meters. 1-13
 Figure 1 7: Bathymetry of the Leviathan Field (Adapted from: Gardline Surveys Inc., 2015). Proposed new wellsite locations are preliminary; final well locations may vary slightly. 1-14
 Figure 1 8: Seafloor morphology of the Leviathan Field (Adapted from: Gardline Surveys Inc., 2015). Proposed new wellsite locations are preliminary; final well locations may vary slightly..... 1-15
 Figure 1 9: Seismic line illustrating the shallow stratigraphy of the Leviathan Field (From: Gardline Surveys Inc., 2010)..... 1-16
 Figure 1 10: Locations and magnitudes of historical earthquakes in region (1979 to 2014) relative to the Leviathan Field (From U.S. Geological Survey, 2014). 1-19
 Figure 1 11: Earthquake epicenters (1917-2016) color coded by magnitude (Pink M6, Red M5, Orange M4, Yellow M3, Black M2 or unknown). Soil Acceleration from Israel Standard SI 413, Amendment No.2, May 2004. A large-scale, submarine landslide is visible at this scale with the Palmachim Disturbance (Israel Slump Complex, [ISC]), Leviathan Production Pipeline (LPP), and Leviathan Production Platform (Lpp)..... 1-23
 Figure 1 12: Large vertical displacements along the shelf break, shown in the two 2D seismic lines, are related to listric, normal faults whose slide-planes are connected to the mobile Messinian evaporate layer. Smaller scale sediment slumps can be related to the steeply dipping fault scarps. Others cut the fault scarp and are the result of the seabed slope exceeding a critical angle and/or earthquake..... 1-24
 Figure 1 13: Seabed depth and slope along the route of the proposed pipeline corridor. A 1-km moving average shows the seabed slope changing from below 2° on the shelf to 4° to 7° at the shelf break, interspersed with high values from fault scarps and slump head walls. West of the growth faults (~KP 100), seabed slope is again on the order of 2°, with local variations generally less than 10° 1-25
 Figure 1 14: TGS Line 2061 superimposed on multibeam bathymetry showing correlation between fault scarps at seabed to listric faults to Top Messinian. The interpretation by Katz (2012; yellow faults) over relies on vertical faults to account for lithologic variation and misses some of the listric faults (blue) that support his observations. 1-26



Figure 1 15: Near KP 5 two seabed risks are shown in a three-dimensional (3D) data example. While the crustal-scale, strike-slip faults have left-lateral displacement, smaller scale strike slip faults can be in either direction, alternating orientation along the route of the proposed pipeline corridor. The fault shown here has approximately 400 m of displacement measured from event dislocation on time-slices. An active seabed channel has maximum slopes of 15° (W) and 32° (E) and an elevation difference of 30 m. Vertical exaggeration is 5:1. 1-30

Figure 1 16: East of KP 29 a strike-slip fault, shown with up to 800 m of right-lateral displacement, is associated with a compressional seabed ridge. An abandoned seabed channel is flanked by surficial failures with slump scars at seabed. Active seabed channels have more of a “V” shaped profile while abandoned channels are more “U” shaped with flat bottoms. Vertical exaggeration is 5:1. 1-31

Figure 1 17: West of KP 55 the post-salt sediments are in compression-forming networks of seabed ridges that are often offset hundreds of meters by strike slip faults. The reverse faults will often alternate orientation as the strike-slip faults alternate from sinistral to dextral. Vertical exaggeration is 5:1. 1-32

Figure 1 18: Two seabed features with the highest slopes along the proposed pipeline route are seabed channels at KP 6.5 and compressional ridges/step-over pop-up structures at KP 29.7. Vertical exaggeration is approximately 1:1 (none). 1-33

Figure 1 19: The high-resolution multibeam bathymetry can show features below the resolution of three-dimensional (3D) seismic data. The elevation profile (black line) scale on the right is from -1625 to -1665 m below sea surface. The background slope profile scale is from 0° to 7°, indicating that surficial failures can occur on very low-angle slopes. 1-34

Figure 1 20: Pipeline Survey Corridor. 1-59

Figure 1 21: Locations of existing marine infrastructure (telecommunications cable and previous drillsites) in relation to the eight initial wellsites included in the Leviathan Field Development Plan. Contours indicate water depth in meters. 1-63

Figure 1 22: Proposed platform in relation to the MedNautilus cable. 1-64

Figure 1 23: Shipping Lanes. 1-65

Figure 2 1: Location of the Leviathan Field. 2-3

Figure 2 2: Infield Facilities and Seabed Channels. 2-5

Figure 2 3: Transmission Pipeline Route and Seabed Features. 2-8

Figure 2 4: Alternative Pipeline Entry Point into TAMA Block. 2-17

Figure 3 1 Leviathan Field Location in the Eastern Mediterranean. 3-2

Figure 3 2 Leviathan Development Overview. 3-4

Figure 3 3: Leviathan Development Schedule. 3-6

Figure 3 4: Map of Leviathan Production Wells for First Gas. 3-7

Figure 3 5: Infield Production Infrastructure Schematic. 3-9

Figure 3 6: Siem Stingray – ROV / Multipurpose Support Vessel. 3-13

Figure 3 7: Gathering Facilities Route. 3-23

Figure 3 8: Surveyed Pipeline Routes. 3-24

Figure 3 9: Allseas Solitaire – Dynamically Positioned Pipelay Vessel. 3-26

Figure 3 10: BOA Sub C – Offshore Construction Vessel. 3-29



Figure 3 11: Seabed Channels for Pipeline Crossings	3-38
Figure 3 12: Existing Infrastructure and Crossing Locations	3-40
Figure 3 13: Installation, Commissioning and Pre-commissioning Vessel Underwater Noise Characteristics	3-46
Figure 3 14: Modelled Propagation of Underwater Sound during Pipelay (deep water)	3-47
Figure 3 15: Modelled Propagation of Underwater Sound during Pipelay (shallow water)	3-48
Figure 4 1: Noble Energy Risk Assessment Matrix	4-4
Figure 4 2: Gas Release Profile for Oil Spill Modelling.....	4-15
Figure 4 3: Extreme Winter Wave Storm Water Column Concentrations and Oil Budget.....	4-23
Figure 4 4: Winter Wave Storm Water Column Concentrations and Oil Budget Results	4-24
Figure 4 5: Summer Swell Water Column Concentrations and Oil Budget Results	4-25
Figure 4 6: Strong NE Wind Water Column Concentrations and Oil Budget Results	4-26
Figure 4 7: Modelled Propagation of Underwater Sound during Pipelay (deep water)	4-39
Figure 4 8: Modelled Propagation of Underwater Sound during Pipelay (shallow water)	4-39
Figure 4 9 Baleen Whale (Mysticete) and Sea Turtle Audiogram	4-40
Figure 4 10 Toothed Whate (Odontocetes) and Pinnipeds Audiogram.....	4-40
Figure 4 11: Hydraulic Fluid Concentration Contours.....	4-61
Figure 4 12: Hydraulic Fluid Concentration – Area subject to > 1 ppm	4-61